

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,129	09/16/2003	Allen Fox	10104-018001	7169
26181 75	06/23/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			ELISCA, PIERRE E	
PO BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Lauriantian Na	[A!:			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comment	10/667,129	FOX ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Pierre E. Elisca	3621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M	larch 2005.				
	action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) □ Claim(s) 1-82 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-82 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/667,129 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to Applicant's amendment, filed on 03/18/2005.

2. Claims 1-82 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Allan et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,526,456) in view of Bass et al (U.S Pat. No. 6,744,446).

As per Claims 1, 4-82 Allan substantially discloses a software product that can be freely distributed while limiting its use to authorized subscribers, the method comprising:

a set of users including identify one or more software products that are rented by users in the set (see., abstract, col 1, lines 4-8, col 4, lines 63-67, col 5, lines 1-15);

Authorizing a user in the set to access a software product through the computer network based on whether the software product is identified as a rented software product (see., abstract, col 1, lines 4-8, col 4, lines 63-67, col 5, lines 1-15).

Based on Applicant's arguments mailed on 03/18/2005, Applicant argues that neither Allan nor Reuter fails to disclose the step of assigning a virtual container

Art Unit: 3621

(s) to users. However, a newly found prior art Bass discloses a method/apparatus for displaying network (network or online) information to a user connected to a network, and enable a user to configure the network by assigning virtual containers (see., abstract, col 2, lines and 67 and 68, col 3, lines 1-8). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the software rental service of Allan by including the limitation detailed above as taught by Bass because this would improve software rental product.

As per claims 2 and 3, Allan discloses the claimed method of identifying the rented software product in the virtual container for a rental period (see., col 9, lines 24-37).

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

5. Applicant's arguments filed on 03/18/2005 have been fully considered but they are most in view of new ground (s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre E. Elisca whose telephone number is 703 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703 305-9769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,129

Art Unit: 3621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

Pierre Eddy Elisca

Primary patent Examiner

June 15, 2005